

ON THE  $X$ -RANK WITH RESPECT TO LINEARLY NORMAL CURVES.

EDOARDO BALlico AND ALESSANDRA BERNARDI

**ABSTRACT:** *In this paper we study the  $X$ -rank of points with respect to smooth linearly normal curves  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  of genus  $g$  and degree  $n + g$ . We prove that, for such a curve  $X$ , under certain circumstances, the  $X$ -rank of a general point of  $X$ -border rank equal to  $s$  is less or equal than  $n + 1 - s$ . In the particular case of  $g = 2$  we give a complete description of the  $X$ -rank if  $n = 3, 4$ ; while if  $n \geq 5$  we study the  $X$ -rank of points belonging to the tangential variety of  $X$ .*

## INTRODUCTION

Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  be an integral, smooth, non degenerate curve defined over an algebraically closed field  $K$  of characteristic 0.

The  $X$ -rank of a point  $P \in \mathbb{P}^n$ , that we will denote with  $r_X(P)$ , is the minimum positive integer  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  of points  $P_1, \dots, P_s \in X$  such that

$$(1) \quad P \in \langle P_1, \dots, P_s \rangle \subset \mathbb{P}^n,$$

where  $\langle \rangle$  denote the linear span.

The knowledge of the  $X$ -rank of an element  $P \in \mathbb{P}^n$  with respect to a variety  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  is a theme of great interest both in mathematics and in recent applications. In particular in the literature a large space is devoted to computation of the  $X$ -rank of points  $P \in \mathbb{P}^n$  with respect to projective varieties  $X$  that parameterize certain classes of homogeneous polynomials and also particular kind of tensors (see e.g. [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [11], [12], [13], [14], [17], [19], [22], [23]).

Actually, from a pure mathematical point of view, the notion of  $X$ -rank of a point is preceded by the notion of  $X$ -border rank and that one of secant varieties.

The  $s$ -th secant variety  $\sigma_s(X) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$  of a projective variety  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  is defined as follows:

$$(2) \quad \sigma_s(X) := \overline{\bigcup_{P_1, \dots, P_s \in X} \langle P_1, \dots, P_s \rangle} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n,$$

where the closure is in terms of Zariski topology.

Observe that  $\sigma_1(X) = X$  and also that

$$X \subset \sigma_2(X) \subset \dots \subset \sigma_{s-1}(X) \subset \sigma_s(X) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n.$$

If  $P \in \sigma_s(X) \setminus \sigma_{s-1}(X)$  is said to be of  $X$ -border rank equal to  $s$ . Obviously the  $X$ -border rank of a point  $P \in \mathbb{P}^n$  is less or equal than its  $X$ -rank.

Since the set

$$(3) \quad \sigma_s^0(X) := \{P \in \mathbb{P}^n \mid r_X(P) \leq s\}$$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14H45, 14N05, 14Q05, 14H50.

*Key words and phrases.* Secant varieties, Tangential varieties, Rank, Linearly normal curves.

The authors were partially supported by CIRM - FBK (TN - Italy), MIUR and GNSAGA of INdAM (Italy).

is not a closed variety (except obviously when  $s = 1$  and when  $\sigma_s(X) = \mathbb{P}^n$ ), it turns out that, in Algebraic Geometry, the notion of  $X$ -border rank is more natural than that one of  $X$ -rank, because it is not possible to find ideals and equations for  $\sigma_s^0(X)$ , while there is a wide open research area interested in a description of ideals for  $\sigma_s(X) \subsetneq \mathbb{P}^n$  (see e.g. [2], [10], [15], [16], [18], [20]).

If  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  is a rational normal curve of degree  $n$ , the knowledge of  $X$ -rank of a point coincides both with that one of “symmetric rank” of a two variables  $n$ -dimensional symmetric tensor, and with the knowledge of the so called “Waring rank” of a two variables homogeneous polynomial of degree  $n$  (see [6], [11], [12] [23]). In this case a complete description of the  $X$ -rank is given for any point  $P \in \sigma_s(X) \setminus \sigma_{s-1}(X)$  and for any positive integer  $s$ . In particular the first description of this result is due to Sylvester ([23]), then in [11] there is a reformulation of it in more modern terms. Recently [12] and [6] have given explicit algorithms for the computation of the  $X$ -rank with respect to a rational normal curve. What is proved in all those papers is that, with respect to a rational normal curve  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ , the  $X$ -rank of a point  $P \in \sigma_s(X) \setminus \sigma_{s-1}(X)$ , for  $2 \leq s \leq \lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \rceil$ , can only be either  $s$  or  $n - s + 2$ .

When one looks to the  $X$ -rank with respect to projective curves  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  of higher genus, the informations becomes immediately isolated. For example, if  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  is a genus 1 curve of degree  $n + 1$ , the only result we are aware of, is about points belonging to tangent lines to  $X$  (see [6], Theorem 3.13).

We introduce here the definition of the *tangential variety*  $\tau(X) \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  of a projective variety  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  as follows:

$$(4) \quad \tau(X) := \overline{\bigcup_{Q \in X_{\text{reg}}} T_Q X}.$$

where  $T_Q X$  is the tangent space to  $X$  at  $Q$ , and the closure is in terms of the Zariski topology. Observe that if  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  is smooth then  $\tau(X) = \bigcup_{Q \in X_{\text{reg}}} T_Q X$ .

If  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  is a smooth elliptic curve of degree  $n + 1$ , the  $X$ -rank of the points  $P \in \tau(X)$  is described in Theorem 3.13 of [6]. The authors proved that, for all  $Q \in X$ , if  $n = 3$  then the elements  $P \in T_Q X \setminus \sigma_2^0(X)$  are such that  $r_X(P) = 3$ , while if  $n \geq 4$  then any  $P \in T_Q X \setminus \sigma_2^0(X)$  is such that  $r_X(P) = n - 1$ .

Clearly this result runs out the case of the  $X$ -rank of all points  $P \in \sigma_2(X)$  when  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  is an elliptic curve of degree  $n + 1$ , because  $\sigma_2(X) = \sigma_2^0(X) \cup \tau(X)$ , and any point  $P \in \sigma_2^0(X)$  can only be of  $X$ -rank equal either to 1 or to 2 by definition (3). Anyway, on our knowledge, nothing is known on  $r_X(P)$  with respect to elliptic curves  $X$  if  $P \notin \sigma_2(X)$ .

What we do in this paper is to treat the case of smooth and linearly normal curves  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  of genus  $g$  and degree  $n + g$  with a particular attention to the case of genus 2 curves.

**Definition 1.** A non-degenerate projective curve  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  is called *linearly normal* if  $H^1(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{I}_X(1)) = 0$ .

From now on  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  will be a linearly normal non-degenerate projective curve of genus  $g$  and degree  $n + g$ .

If a point  $P \in \mathbb{P}^n$  belongs to a  $\sigma_s^0(X)$ , for certain value of  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ , then, by definition (3), there exists at least an effective reduced divisor  $Z \subset X$  of degree less or equal than  $s$  such that  $P \in \langle Z \rangle$ .

Otherwise if there exists an integer  $s$  such that  $P \in \sigma_s(X) \setminus \sigma_s^0(X)$ , then (by Proposition 2.8 in [6]) there exists an effective non-reduced divisor  $Z \subset X$  of degree  $s$  such that  $P \in \langle Z \rangle$ , no other effective divisor of  $X$  of degree strictly less than  $s$  can contain the point  $P$  in its span,

and the smallest degree of a reduced effective divisor  $Z' \subset X$  such that  $P \in \langle Z' \rangle$  has to be bigger than  $s$ .

A first way of investigation in order to compute the  $X$ -rank of a point  $P \in \mathbb{P}^n$  for whom only its  $X$ -border rank is known, is to study the  $X$ -rank of points belonging to some projective subspace  $\langle Z \rangle \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  where  $Z \subset X$  is an effective non-reduced divisor of  $X$  and try to understand if there is a relation between the  $X$ -rank of  $P \in \langle Z \rangle$  and the structure of  $Z \subset X$ .

The result that we can give for this general case is Theorem 1 stated below (it will be proved in Section 1). That theorem shows that if the  $X$ -border rank  $s$  of a point  $P \in \sigma_s(X) \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  does not exceed  $\lceil \frac{n-2}{2} \rceil$  and  $P$  belongs to the span of an effective non reduced divisor  $Z \subset X$  such that  $\deg(\langle Z \rangle \cap X) \leq \deg(X) - 2p_a(X)$  then the  $X$ -rank of  $P$  cannot be greater than  $n + 1 - s$  (only one very particular embedding of  $X$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  is excluded from that result).

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  be an integral non-degenerate and linearly normal curve. Let  $Z \subset X_{\text{reg}}$  be a 0-dimensional scheme such that  $\dim(\langle Z \rangle) = s$  and  $n \geq 2s + 2$ . Let  $Z' \subset X$  be the Cartier divisor obtained by the schematic intersection  $Z' := X \cap \langle Z \rangle$ . Assume  $h^1(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{I}_{Z'}(1)) = 0$  and  $\deg(Z') \leq \deg(X) - 2p_a(X)$ . If  $\deg(X) = 2p_a(X) + \deg(Z')$  and  $X$  admits a degree 2 morphism  $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ , then assume  $\mathcal{O}_X(1)(-Z') \neq \phi^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(p_a(X)))$ . Then for a general  $P \in \langle Z \rangle$  the  $X$ -rank of  $P$  is:*

$$r_X(P) \leq n + 1 - s.$$

Section 1 is almost entirely devoted to the proof of that theorem and to another result (Corollary 1) on linearly normal curves  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  of genus  $g \leq n-1$  where we give an immediate lower bound for the  $X$ -rank of points belonging to  $\tau(X) \setminus \sigma_2^0(X)$  that will be useful in the sequel.

In Section 2 we will focus on non-degenerate linearly normal curves  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  of genus 2 and degree  $n + 2$ . We will first treat the cases  $n = 3, 4$  (in subsections 2.1 and 2.2 respectively). If  $n = 3$  then  $\sigma_2(X) = \mathbb{P}^3$  (see [1]), hence, the only meaningful case to study is that one of points in  $\tau(X) \setminus \sigma_2^0(X)$  (if such a set is not empty). R. Piene in [21] shown that it is possible to find a linearly normal embedding of  $X$  in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  for which there exists  $P \in \mathbb{P}^3$  such that  $r_X(P) = 3$ . When such point exists it has to belong to  $\tau(X) \setminus \sigma_2^0(X)$ . In Proposition 1 we will give a geometric description of those points.

If  $n = 4$  then  $\sigma_3(X) = \mathbb{P}^4$  (see [1]). We will actually prove in Proposition 2 that  $\sigma_3^0(X) = \mathbb{P}^4$ . This will be proved by showing both that if  $P \notin \sigma_2(X)$  and also if  $P \in \tau(X) \setminus \sigma_2^0(X)$  then  $r_X(P) = 3$ . We will also give in Proposition 3 a geometric description of the points  $P \in \mathbb{P}^4$  of  $X$ -rank equal to 3.

Finally, in Subsection 2.3, we will treat the case of a linearly normal genus 2 curve in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  of degree  $n + 2$  for  $n \geq 5$  and we will prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** *Fix an integer  $n \geq 8$ . and let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  be a linearly normal smooth curve of genus 2 and degree  $n + 2$ . Then the  $X$ -rank  $r_X(P)$  of a point  $P \in T_Q X \setminus X$ , for any  $Q \in X$ , is  $r_X(P) = n - 2$ .*

If  $n = 5, 6, 7$  we can actually show that the set of points  $\{P \in \tau(X) \mid r_X(P) = n - 2\}$  is not empty, but we can only prove that the  $X$ -rank of  $P \in \tau(X)$  can be at most  $n - 1$  (see Proposition 4).

From all these results we end up in Section 3 with some natural but open questions concerning the highest realization of the  $X$ -rank with respect to a linearly normal smooth genus  $g$  curve  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  of degree  $n + g$ . More precisely, we expect that the maximum possible  $X$ -rank with respect to such a curve can be reached, at least for big values of  $n$ , by points on  $\tau(X)$  (see questions 2 and 4). We also expect that, when  $n \gg s$ , the  $X$ -rank equal to  $s$  cannot be realized out of  $\sigma_{n-s}(X)$  (Question 3).

1. THE  $X$ -RANK WITH RESPECT TO A LINEARLY NORMAL CURVE

In this section we study the  $X$ -rank of projective points with respect to a smooth and linearly normal curve  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  of genus  $g$  and degree  $n + g$ .

First of all we give in Corollary 1 a lower bound for the  $X$ -rank of points belonging to  $\tau(X) \setminus X$  if  $X$  is embedded in a  $\mathbb{P}^n$  with  $n \geq g + 1$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  be an integral and linearly normal curve and let  $Z \subset X$  be a zero-dimensional subscheme such that  $\deg(X) - \deg(Z) > 2p_a(X) - 2$ . Then  $h^1(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{I}_Z(1)) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* The degree of the canonical sheaf  $\omega_X$  is  $2p_a(X) - 2$ , even if  $X$  is not locally free. Hence for degree reasons we have  $h^1(X, \mathcal{I}_{Z,X}(1)) = 0$ . Since  $X$  is linearly normal, then  $h^1(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{I}_Z(1)) = 0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  be an integral, non degenerate, linearly normal and smooth curve of genus  $g$  and degree  $n + g$  with  $n \geq g + 1$ . Then, for any regular point  $Q \in X$ , the  $X$ -rank of a point  $P \in T_Q X \setminus X$  is:*

$$r_X(P) \geq n - g.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 1 we have that  $h^1(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{I}_{\langle 2Q \rangle}(1)) = 0$  hence  $h^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{I}_{\langle 2Q \rangle}(1)) = n - 1$ , therefore any hyperplane  $H$  containing  $T_Q X$  cuts on  $X$  a divisor  $D_H$  of degree  $n$  having  $2Q$  as a fixed part, i.e. for any hyperplane  $H$  containing  $T_Q X$  there exists a divisor  $D'_H$  on  $X$  of degree  $n - g$  such that  $D_H = 2Q + D'_H$ . Now the  $D'_H$ 's give a linear series  $g_{n-g}^{n-g+1}$  on  $X$ . This implies the existence of a hyperplane  $\tilde{H}$  such that  $D_{\tilde{H}} = 2Q + D'_{\tilde{H}}$  and the divisor  $D'_{\tilde{H}}$  belonging to  $g_{n-g}^{n-g+1}$  spans a  $\mathbb{P}^{n-g+1}$  containing  $P$ . Therefore  $r_X(P) \geq n - g$ .  $\square$

Before proving Theorem 1 stated in the Introduction, we need the following Lemma.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  be an integral non-degenerate and linearly normal curve. Let  $Z \subset X_{\text{reg}}$  be a 0-dimensional scheme such that  $\dim(\langle Z \rangle) = s$  and  $n \geq 2s + 2$ . Let  $Z' \subset X$  be the Cartier divisor obtained by the schematic intersection  $Z' := X \cap \langle Z \rangle$ . If  $h^1(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{I}_{Z'}(1)) = 0$  and  $\deg(Z') \leq \deg(X) - 2p_a(X)$ , then  $Z' = Z$ .*

*Proof.* The hypothesis on the degree of  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ , i.e.  $\deg(X) \geq 2p_a(X) - 1$ , implies the vanishing of  $h^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1))$ . Now  $X$  is linearly normal, then  $\deg(X) = n + p_a(X)$ . Moreover  $h^1(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{I}_{Z'}(1)) = 0$  and  $\langle Z' \rangle$  is a projective subspace of dimension  $s$ , then  $\deg(Z') = s + 1$ . Now, since  $\langle Z \rangle = \langle Z' \rangle$  by hypothesis, then  $Z' = Z$ .  $\square$

We are now ready to give the proof of Theorem 1 that will allow to study the  $X$ -rank for points  $P \in \sigma_s(X) \setminus \sigma_s^0(X)$  if the dimension of the ambient space is greater or equal than  $2s - 2$  and if  $P \in \langle Z \rangle$  where  $Z \subset X$  is an effective divisor such that  $h^1(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{I}_{\langle Z \rangle \cap X}) = 0$  and  $\deg(\langle Z \rangle \cap X) \leq \deg(X) - 2p_a(X)$  (only one linearly normal embedding of  $X$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  is excluded from this theorem). We stress that the two conditions required for the divisor  $Z' = \langle Z \rangle \cap X$  are used to ensure that  $Z' = Z$  as it is shown in Lemma 2 (i.e. that  $\langle Z \rangle$  does not intersect  $X$  in other points than those cut by  $Z$  itself). We notice moreover that if  $n \leq 2s - 1$  then  $\sigma_s(X) = \mathbb{P}^n$  (in fact, by [1], the dimension of the  $s$ -th secant variety to a smooth, reduced, non degenerate curve  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  is  $2s - 1$ ), hence the hypothesis  $n \geq 2s - 2$  excludes only the case of  $\sigma_s(X) = \mathbb{P}^n$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.*

By Lemma 2, the scheme  $Z'$  coincides with  $Z$ . Now  $Z'$  is the base locus of the linear

system induced on  $X$  by the set of all hyperplanes containing  $Z$ . By hypothesis,  $Z$  is also a Cartier divisor and  $\deg(X) \geq 2p_a(X) + \deg(Z)$ , then the line bundle

$$(5) \quad R := \mathcal{I}_X(1)(-Z)$$

is spanned. Therefore, if with  $R_0 \in |R|$  we denote the general zero-locus of  $R$ , then  $R_0$  is reduced and contained in  $X_{reg} \setminus (Z)_{red}$ . Since  $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(1)) = 0$ , then the restriction map  $H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{I}_Z(1)) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1)(-Z))$  is surjective. Hence  $R_0 \cup Z$  is the scheme-theoretic intersection of  $X$  with a hyperplane  $H \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  and containing  $\langle Z \rangle$ .

Let  $\varphi_{|R|} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-s-1}$  be the morphism induced by the complete linear system  $|R|$ . Clearly, by the definition (5), the degree of  $R$  is  $\deg(R) = \deg(\varphi_{|R|}) \cdot \deg(\varphi_{|R|}(X))$ . Moreover the image of  $X$  via  $\varphi_{|R|}$  spans  $\mathbb{P}^{n-s-1}$ , then  $\deg(R) \geq \deg(\varphi_{|R|}) \cdot (n-s-1)$  and equality holds if and only if  $\varphi_{|R|}(X) \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-s-1}$  is a rational normal curve. Hence our numerical assumptions give that either  $\deg(\varphi_{|R|}) = 1$  or  $\deg(X) = 2p_a(X) + \deg(Z)$ ,  $\deg(\varphi_{|R|}) = 2$ ,  $\varphi_{|R|}(X) \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-s-1}$  is a rational normal curve and  $R \cong \psi^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(p_a(X)))$ . Now, the latter case is excluded by hypothesis, therefore  $\varphi_{|R|}$  is birational onto its image.

Consider a subset  $\Psi$  defined as follows:

$$(6) \quad \Psi := \{B \in |R| \mid \varphi_{|R|}|B \text{ is } 1-1, \forall S_B \subset \varphi_{|R|}(B) \text{ with } \sharp(S_B) \leq n-s-1, \langle S_B \rangle = \mathbb{P}^{n-s-2}\}.$$

By a monodromy argument such a  $\Psi \subset |R|$  exists, is non-empty and open.

For any  $B \in \Psi$  and for any set of points  $S_B \subset \varphi_{|R|}(B)$ , we have that  $\varphi_{|R|}(S_B) \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-s-1}$  itself is not linearly independent, but any proper subset of it is linearly independent, then, for any  $B \in \Psi$ , we have that  $\langle Z \rangle \cap \langle S_B \rangle$  is made by only one point and we denote it with  $P_{B, S_B}$ :

$$(7) \quad P_{B, S_B} := \langle Z \rangle \cap \langle S_B \rangle.$$

Obviously  $r_X(P_{B, S_B}) \leq n-s+1$  because  $P_{B, S_B} \in \langle S_B \rangle$  and  $S_B \subset \varphi_{|R|}(B)$  for some  $B \in \Psi$ . Now to conclude the proof it is sufficient to show that, varying  $B \in \Psi$  and  $S_B \subset \varphi_{|R|}(B)$ , the set of all points  $P_{B, S_B}$  obtained as in (7) covers a non-empty open subset of  $\langle Z \rangle$ .

Let  $G(n-s, n)$  denote the Grassmannian of all  $(n-s)$ -dimensional projective linear subspaces of  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . Now the set  $\Psi$  defined in (6) can be viewed as an irreducible component of maximal dimension of the constructible subset of  $G(n-s, n)$  which parametrizes all linear spaces  $\langle S_B \rangle$  with  $(B, S_B)$  as in (6) (with an abuse of notation we will write  $(B, S_B) \in \Psi$  when we think  $\Psi \subset G(n-s, n)$ ).

With  $\overline{\Psi}$  we denote the closure of  $\Psi$  in  $G(n-s, n)$ .

Since  $h^0(X, R) = n-s \geq s+3$  and  $g(X) > 0$ , there is an element in  $|R|$  that contains  $s+1$  general points of  $X$  (remind that  $(n-s-2)$  general points on an integral curve  $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-s-1}$  are contained in a linear space that has  $(n-s-1)$  on  $Y$ , if and only if  $Y$  is not the rational normal curve; in our case  $g(Y) > 0$  and  $|R|$  induces a birational map). Hence the closure  $\Gamma$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  of the union of all  $\langle B \rangle$  with  $B \in \overline{\Psi}$  contains  $\sigma_{s+1}(X)$ . Therefore such a  $\Gamma$  clearly contains  $\langle Z \rangle$ . Then for every  $P \in \langle Z \rangle$  there is  $B \in \overline{\Psi}$  such that  $P \in \langle B \rangle$ . To prove the theorem it is sufficient to prove that for a general  $P$  in  $\langle Z \rangle$  we may take  $B \in \Psi$  with  $P \in \langle B \rangle$ . Since  $\Psi$  contains a non-empty open subset of  $\overline{\Psi}$ , it is sufficient to find at least one  $P \in \langle Z \rangle$  that actually belongs to an element  $B \in \Psi$ . It is just sufficient to take, for such required  $P$ , the element  $P_{B, S_B} \in \langle Z \rangle \cap \langle S_B \rangle$  defined in (7) where  $(B, S_B) \in \Psi \subset G(n-s, n)$ , in fact we saw that for every  $\langle S_B \rangle \in \Psi$  with  $(B, S_B) \in \Psi \subset G(n-s, n)$  as above  $\langle Z \rangle \cap \langle S_B \rangle$  is a unique point  $P_{B, S_B}$  of  $\langle Z \rangle$ .  $\square$

**Remark 1.** Notice that the proof of Theorem 1 works also if  $X$  is smooth, of genus  $g \geq 2$ , embedded in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  by a degree  $n+g$  line bundle and if  $Z \subset X$  is an effective non-reduced degree  $s$  divisor such that  $n$  is greater or equal both than  $2s+2$  and than  $2g+s+1$ .

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  be a smooth linearly normal curve of genus  $g \geq 2$  and degree  $n+g$ . Let  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $n \geq 2s+2$ ,  $2g+s+1$  and  $P \in \sigma_s(X)$ . Then  $r_X(P) \leq n+1-s$ .*

*Proof.* If  $P \in \sigma_s^0(X)$ , then, by definition (3),  $r_X(P) \leq s$ , and, by hypothesis on  $n$ ,  $s < n+1-s$ . If  $P \in \sigma_s(X) \setminus \sigma_s^0(X)$ , then (by [6], Proposition 2.8) there exists an effective non-reduced divisor  $Z \subset X$  of degree  $s$  such that  $P \in \langle Z \rangle$ . Such divisor  $Z$  satisfies the hypothesis of Remark 1, and then those of Theorem 1, therefore  $r_X(P) \leq n+1-s$ .  $\square$

## 2. THE $X$ -RANK WITH RESPECT TO A LINEARLY NORMAL CURVE OF GENUS TWO.

In this section we restrict our attention to the case of smooth genus 2 curves embedded linearly normal in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  and of degree  $n+2$  for  $n \geq 3$ .

In this case the Corollary 1 together with the Theorem 1 (when applicable) will assure that the  $X$ -rank  $r_X(P)$  of a point  $P \in \tau(X) \setminus X$  can only be

$$n-2 \leq r_X(P) \leq n-1.$$

If  $n = 3, 4$  this tells that, if there is some point  $P \in \tau(X)$  that does not belong to  $\sigma_2^0(X)$ , then the elements of the set  $\tau(X) \setminus \sigma_2^0(X)$  can only have  $X$ -rank equal to 3. What we will do in subsections 2.1 and 2.2 will be to study that set in the cases  $n = 3, 4$  respectively. First we will give examples in which such a set is not empty, then we will relate the choice of the tangent line to  $X$  with its number of points  $P$  with  $r_X(P) = 3$ .

**2.1. The case of a smooth linearly normal curve of degree 5 in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ .** For all this subsection  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  will be linearly normal curve of degree 5 and genus 2.

In this case only the  $X$ -rank on the tangential variety of  $X$  is not know.

**Remark 2.** Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  be a smooth non degenerate curve. Since  $r_X(P) \leq 3$  for all  $P \in \mathbb{P}^3$  (see Proposition 5.1 in [17]) and since  $\sigma_2(X) = \mathbb{P}^3$  (see [1]), then we have that

$$r_X(P) = 3 \Leftrightarrow P \in \tau(X) \setminus (\sigma_2^0(X)).$$

Clearly this does not prove the actual existence of a point  $P \in \mathbb{P}^3$  such that  $r_X(P) = 3$ . But, R. Piene proved the existence of a smooth genus 2 linearly normal curve  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  and  $P \in \mathbb{P}^3$  whose  $X$ -rank is greater or equal than 3 ([21], Example 4, pag. 110). This shows that there exists at least one case in which  $\tau(X) \setminus (\sigma_2^0(X)) \neq \emptyset$ .

The Proposition 1 below shows that there are infinitely many manners to embed  $X$  in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  in such a way that  $\tau(X) \setminus (\sigma_2^0(X)) \neq \emptyset$  and, moreover, that for any such embedding there exists at least one tangent line to  $X$  on which there are exactly 6 points of  $X$ -rank equal to 3.

Before proving Proposition 1 we need to recall standard facts on Weierstrass points that we will need in the sequel.

**Definition 2.** A point  $P$  on an algebraic curve  $C$  of genus  $g$  is a *Weierstrass point* if there exists a non-constant rational function on  $C$  which has at  $P$  a pole of order less or equal than  $g$  and which has no singularities at other points of  $C$ .

**Remark 3.** If the algebraic curve  $C$  has genus  $g \geq 2$  then there always exist at least  $2g+2$  Weierstrass points, and only hyper-elliptic curves of genus  $g$  have exactly  $2g+2$  Weierstrass points.

The presence of a Weierstrass point on an algebraic curve  $C$  of genus  $g \geq 2$  ensures the existence of a morphism of degree less or equal than  $g$  from the curve  $C$  onto the projective line  $\mathbb{P}^1$ .

We can now prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $C$  be a smooth curve of genus 2. Fix  $O \in C$  such that there is no  $U \in C$  such that  $\mathcal{O}_C(3O) \cong \omega_C(U)$  (this condition is satisfied by a general  $O \in C$ ). Set*

$$L := \omega_C(3O).$$

*Let  $\varphi_{|L|} : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$  be the degree 5 linearly normal embedding of  $C$  induced by the complete linear system  $|L|$ . Set  $X := \varphi_{|L|}(C)$  and  $Q := \varphi_{|L|}(O)$ . Then there are exactly 6 points of  $T_Q X$  with  $X$ -rank equal to 3.*

*Proof.* Since  $\deg(L) = 5 = 2p_a(C) + 1$ , then  $L$  is very ample and  $h^0(C, L) = 4$  (as implicitly claimed in the statement). By hypothesis  $\mathcal{O}_C(3O) \not\cong \omega_C(O)$ , then we have also that  $\mathcal{O}_C(2O) \not\cong \omega_C$ , that means that  $O$  is not a Weierstrass point of  $C$  (see Definition 2).

Now  $\mathcal{O}_X(1) \cong \omega_X(3Q)$ , then, by Riemann-Roch theorem, the point  $Q = \varphi_{|L|}(O) \in X$  defined in the statement, is the unique base point of  $\mathcal{O}_X(1)(-2Q)$ . Therefore:

$$T_Q X \cap X = 3Q$$

where the intersection is scheme-theoretic and  $3Q \subset X$  is an effective Cartier divisor of  $X$ . Since the genus of  $X$  is  $g = 2$  we have that, by Remark 3, the number of Weierstrass points of  $X$ , in characteristic different from 2, is exactly 6. Moreover the canonical morphism  $u : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  recalled in Remark 3 is induced by the linear projection from  $T_Q X \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ , and the ramification points of such a morphism  $u$  are, by definition, the Weierstrass points of  $X$ . Let  $B \in X$  be one of these Weierstrass points (by assumption  $B \neq Q$ ). Since  $\mathcal{O}_X(3Q + 2B) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(1)$ ,  $B \neq Q$ , and  $X$  is linearly normal, then  $\langle T_Q X \cup T_B X \rangle$  is a plane, and let

$$P = T_Q X \cap T_B X.$$

Now  $\deg(X) = 5$  and  $P \notin \{Q, B\}$ , then we have that  $P \notin X$ , i.e.

$$r_X(P) \geq 2.$$

We claim that  $r_X(P) \geq 3$  and hence  $r_X(P) = 3$  ([17], Proposition 5.1). We also check that  $2B$  and  $2Q$  are the only degree 2 effective divisors  $Z$  on  $X$  such that  $P \in \langle Z \rangle$ .

Assume that there is a degree 2 divisor  $Z \subset X$  such that  $P \in \langle Z \rangle$  but  $Z \neq 2Q, 2B$ . Since  $\langle Z \rangle \cap T_Q X = \{P\}$ , then  $\langle T_Q X \cup \langle Z \rangle \rangle$  is a plane. Since the effective Cartier divisor  $3Q$  of  $X$  is the scheme-theoretic intersection of  $X$  and  $T_Q X$ , we get that  $Z + 3Q \in |\mathcal{O}_X(1)|$ , i.e.  $Z \in |\omega_X|$ . Analogously  $\{P\} = \langle Z \rangle \cap T_B X$ , then again  $\langle T_B X \cup \langle Z \rangle \rangle$  is a plane. Thus there is a point  $A \in X$  such that  $2B + Z + A \in |\mathcal{O}_X(1)|$ , i.e.  $Z + A \in |3Q|$ . Let  $U$  be the only point of  $C$  such that  $\varphi_{|L|}(U) = A$ . Since  $Z \in |\omega_X|$ , we get  $\omega_C(U) \cong \mathcal{O}_C(3O)$ , contradicting our assumption on  $O \in C$ . Now, varying  $B$  among the 6 Weierstrass points, we get 6 points of  $T_Q X$  with  $X$ -rank 3. All other points of  $T_Q X \setminus \{Q\}$  have  $X$ -rank 2, because they are in the linear span of a reduced divisor  $Z \in |\omega_X|$ .  $\square$

**2.2. The case of a smooth linearly normal curve of degree 6 in  $\mathbb{P}^4$ .** For all this subsection  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^4$  will be linearly normal curve of degree 6 and genus 2.

In order to completely describe the  $X$ -rank of points in  $\mathbb{P}^4$  for such a  $X$ , we need to recall that from [1] we have that  $\mathbb{P}^4 = \sigma_3(X)$ . Clearly  $r_X(P) \leq 3$  for all  $P \in \sigma_3^0(X)$ , but this does not give any information neither on the points  $P \in \tau(X) \setminus \sigma_2^0(X)$  nor on  $\mathbb{P}^4 \setminus \sigma_3^0(X)$ . In the next proposition we show that:

$$r_X(P) \leq 3$$

for all  $P \in \mathbb{P}^4$ .

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^4$  be a smooth and linearly normal curve of degree 6 and genus 2. Then every  $P \in \mathbb{P}^4 \setminus \sigma_2^0(X)$  has  $X$ -rank 3.*

*Proof.* Since, by hypothesis,  $P \notin \sigma_2^0(X)$ , then obviously  $r_X(P) \geq 3$ . It is sufficient to prove the reverse inequality.

We start proving the statement for  $P \notin \sigma_2(X)$ , i.e.  $P$  neither in  $\sigma_2^0(X)$ , nor in  $\tau(X)$ . Let  $\ell_P : \mathbb{P}^4 \setminus P \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$  be the linear projection from  $P$ . Since  $P \notin \sigma_2(X)$ , the image  $\ell_P(X) \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  is a smooth and degree 6 curve isomorphic to  $X$ . Since  $\binom{6}{2} = 15$  and  $\mathcal{O}_X(2)$  is not special, while  $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(2)) = 12 + 1 - 2 = 11$ , we get that  $h^0(\mathcal{I}_X(2)) \geq 4$ . Now  $2^3 > 6$  and Bezout's theorem imply that  $X$  is contained in a minimal degree surface  $S \subset \mathbb{P}^4$  and that it is a complete intersection of  $S$  with a quadric hypersurface. The surface  $S$  is either a cone over a rational normal curve or a degree 3 smooth surface isomorphic to the Hirzebruch surface. In both cases the adjunction formula shows that a smooth curve, which is scheme-theoretically the intersection with  $S$  and a quadric hypersurface, is a genus 2 linearly normal curve. Since  $X$  is cut out by quadrics, there is no line  $L \subset \mathbb{P}^4$  such that  $\text{length}(L \cap X) \geq 3$ . For every smooth and non-degenerate space curve  $Y$  (except the rational normal curves and the degree 4 curves with arithmetic genus 1) there are infinitely many lines  $M \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  such that  $\text{length}(M \cap Y) \geq 3$  (it is sufficient to consider a projection of  $Y$  into a plane from a general point of  $Y$ ). In characteristic zero only finitely many tangent lines  $T_O Y$ , with  $O \in Y_{\text{reg}}$ , have order of contact  $\geq 3$  with  $Y$  at  $O$ . Hence if  $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  is the smooth curve  $\ell_O(X)$ , there are infinitely many lines  $M \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  such that  $\#((M \cap \ell_O(X))_{\text{red}}) \geq 3$ . Any such line  $M$  is the image via  $\ell_P$ , of a plane  $\Pi \subset \mathbb{P}^4$  containing  $P$  and at least 3 points of  $X$ . Since  $X$  has no trisecant (o multiseccant) lines, the plane  $\Pi$  must be spanned by the points of  $X$  contained in it.

To complete the picture, it only remains to show that if  $P \in \tau(X)$  then  $r_X(P) \leq 3$ . Clearly if  $P \in X \subset \tau(X)$  then  $r_X(P) = 1$ , and if there exists a bisecant line  $L$  to  $X$  such that  $L \cap \tau(X) = P$ , then  $r_X(P) = 2$ . We actually have to prove that the points  $P \in \tau(X)$  such that  $P \notin \sigma_2^0(X)$  have  $X$ -rank 3.

Let  $\ell_O : \mathbb{P}^4 \setminus \{O\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$  be the linear projection from  $O \in X \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ , and let  $C \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  be the closure of  $\ell_O(X \setminus \{O\})$  in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ . Since  $\deg(X) = 4 + 2p_a(X) - 2$ , we have  $h^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1)(-Z)) = 0$  for every effective divisor  $Z \subset X$  such that  $\deg(Z) \leq 3$ . Hence the scheme  $T_O X \cap X$  has length 2. Thus  $C \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  is a degree 6 space curve birational to  $X$ , with arithmetic genus 3 and an ordinary cusp at  $\ell_O(P)$  as its unique singular point. Fix a general  $A \in C$ . Since  $A$  is general, it is not contained in the tangent plane to  $C$  at  $\ell_O(P)$ . Moreover, by the same reason, there is no  $B \in C_{\text{reg}} \setminus \{A\}$  such that  $A \in T_B C$ . Thus, if  $\ell_A : \mathbb{P}^3 \setminus \{A\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  is the linear projection from  $A \in \mathbb{P}^3$ , the closure of  $\ell_A(C \setminus \{A\})$  in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  is a degree 5 plane curve with an ordinary cusp and at least one non-unibranch point with multiplicity  $\geq 2$ . Hence there is a line  $M \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  such that  $\#(M \cap C) \geq 3$  and  $A \in M$ . Hence  $C$  has a one-dimensional family  $\Gamma$  of lines  $L$  such that  $\#(L \cap C) = 3$ . Fix any  $L \in \Gamma$  and let  $\Pi \subset \mathbb{P}^4$  be the only plane such that  $P \in \Pi$  and  $\ell_P(\Pi \setminus \{P\}) = L$ . Since  $\ell_P|_X : X \rightarrow C$  is injective, then  $\#(\Pi \cap X) = 3$ . Since any length 3 subscheme of  $X$  is linearly independent,  $\Pi = \langle \Pi \cap X \rangle$ . Since  $P \in \Pi$ , we get  $r_X(P) \leq 3$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^4$  be a smooth and linearly normal curve of degree 6 and genus 2. Then  $\overline{\sigma_3^0(X)} = \sigma_3^0(X) = \mathbb{P}^4$*

*Proof.* By the definition of secant variety that we gave in (2) we have that  $\sigma_3(X) = \overline{\sigma_3^0(X)}$  and for any  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^4$  smooth and linearly normal curve  $\sigma_3(X) = \mathbb{P}^4$ . By Proposition 2,  $r_X(P) \leq 3$  for all  $P \in \mathbb{P}^4$ , hence if  $P \in \mathbb{P}^4$  is such that there exists a non reduced scheme  $Z \subset X$  of length 3 for which  $P \in \langle Z \rangle$ , there always exists another reduced scheme  $Z' \subset X$  of length at most 3 such that  $P \in \langle Z' \rangle$ . Hence in the Zariski closure of  $\sigma_3^0(X)$  the  $X$ -rank doesn't increase.  $\square$



The Proposition 2 gives a complete stratification of the  $X$ -rank of the points in  $\mathbb{P}^4$  with respect to a genus 2 curve  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^4$  of degree 6 embedded linearly normal. We implicitly proved that if  $P \in \mathbb{P}^4$  is such that  $r_X(P) = 3$  then  $P \in \tau(X) \cup \sigma_3^0(X)$ . Clearly if  $P \in \sigma_3^0(X) \subset \mathbb{P}^4$  then  $r_X(P) = 3$ . We can actually be more precise about the points belonging to  $\tau(X) \setminus X$  for  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^4$  as above. Are all of them of  $X$ -rank 3 or is the intersection between  $\tau(X)$  and  $\sigma_2^0(X)$  not empty? Moreover, which is the cardinality of  $\tau(X) \cap \sigma_2^0(X)$ ? We describe it in the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^4$  be a smooth and linearly normal curve of degree 6 and genus 2. Fix  $O \in X$ . The linear projection from  $T_O X$  does not induce a birational morphism onto a degree 4 plane curve if and only if  $\mathcal{O}_X(1) \cong \omega_X^{\otimes 2}(2O)$ . The space  $T_O X$  contains only*

- 1 point of  $X$ -rank equal to 2 if and only if  $T_O X$  induces a birational morphism from  $X$  to a plane curve;
- 5 points of  $X$ -rank equal to 2 if and only if  $T_O X$  doesn't induce a birational morphism from  $X$  to a plane curve and  $O \in X$  is a Weierstrass point of  $X$ ,
- 6 points of  $X$ -rank equal to 2 if and only if  $T_O X$  doesn't induce a birational morphism from  $X$  to a plane curve and  $O \in X$  is not a Weierstrass point of  $X$ .

*All the other points in  $T_O(X)$  have  $X$ -rank equal to 3.*

*Proof.* Since  $\text{length}(T_O X \cap X) = 2$ ,  $\deg(X) = 6$ , and  $X$  is smooth, then, by Lemma 1, the morphism  $\ell_O|_{X \setminus \{O\}}$  extends to a morphism  $v_O : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  such that  $\deg(v_O) \cdot \deg(v_O(X)) = 4$ . Since  $v_O(X)$  spans  $\mathbb{P}^2$ ,  $\deg(v_O(X)) \geq 2$ . Hence either  $v_O$  is birational or  $\deg(v_O) = 2$  and  $v_O(X)$  is a smooth conic. The latter case occurs if and only if  $\mathcal{O}_X(1) \cong \omega_X^{\otimes 2}(2O)$ .

The last sentence of the statement is a direct consequence of Proposition 2. Let us prove the previous part. Since  $X$  has no trisecant lines, then  $r_X(P) = 2$  if and only if there is a line  $L$  such that  $P \in L$  and  $\sharp(D \cap X) = 2$ .

First assume that the linear projection from  $T_O X$  induces a birational morphism from  $X$  onto a plane curve. Since  $\text{length}(T_O X \cap X) = 2$ , the linear projection from  $T_O X$  and the genus formula for degree 4 plane curves show the existence of exactly one  $P' \in T_O X$  contained in another tangent or secant line; both cases may occur for some pairs  $(X, O)$ .

Now assume that the linear projection from  $T_O X$  does not induce a birational morphism of  $X$  onto a plane curve. Since  $\text{length}(T_O X \cap X) = 2$ , it induces a degree 2 morphism  $\phi : X \rightarrow E$ , with  $E \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  a smooth conic. Hence  $\phi$  is the hyperelliptic pencil. Therefore  $\mathcal{O}_X(1) \cong \omega_X^{\otimes 2}(2O)$ . Then, for a fixed abstract curve of genus 2, there is a one-dimensional family of linearly normal embeddings having such tangent lines, while a general element of  $\text{Pic}^6(X)$  has no such tangent line. For that tangent line  $T_O X$ , the morphism  $\phi$  has 6 ramification points (by Riemann-Hurwitz formula) and  $O$  may be one of them (it is one of them if and only if  $O$  is one of the 6 Weierstrass points of  $X$ ). Hence all except 5 or 6 points of  $T_O X \setminus \{O\}$  have  $X$ -rank 2.  $\square$

**Remark 4.** Let  $X$  be an abstract smooth curve of genus 2. Every element of  $\text{Pic}^6(X)$  is very ample. The algebraic set  $\text{Pic}^6(X)$  is isomorphic to a 2-dimensional abelian variety  $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ . A one-dimensional closed subset of it (isomorphic to  $X$ ) parametrizes the set  $\Sigma$  all line bundles of the form  $\omega_X^{\otimes 2}(2O)$  for some  $O \in X$ . Fix  $L \in \Sigma$ . Since the 2-torsion of  $\text{Pic}^0(X)$  is formed by  $2^4$  points, there are exactly  $2^4$  points  $O \in X$  such that  $L \cong \omega_X^{\otimes 2}(2O)$ .

**2.3. The case of a smooth linearly normal curve of degree  $n + 2$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  for  $n \geq 5$ .** For all this subsection  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  will be linearly normal curve of degree  $n + 2$  and genus 2 and  $n \geq 5$ .

We treated the cases of  $n = 3, 4$  separately from the others because for small values of  $n$ 's the behaviour of the  $X$ -rank for points in  $\tau(X)$  is not consistent to the general case. In fact if  $n = 3, 4$  then  $r_X(P) = 3$  for all  $P \in \tau(X) \setminus \sigma_2^0(X)$  as proved in propositions 1 and 2. The Theorem 2 that we stated in the Introduction (and that we will prove in this section) shows that, if  $n \geq 8$ , then the  $X$ -rank of  $P \in \tau(X) \setminus \sigma_2^0(X)$  is  $r_X(P) = n - 2$ . If  $n = 5, 6, 7$  the behaviour of the  $X$ -rank of points in  $\tau(X) \setminus \sigma_2^0(X)$  is not inconsistent whit that one of the general case in fact in Proposition 4 we show that if  $n \geq 5$  the  $X$ -rank of a point  $P \in \tau(X)$  is  $r_X(P) \leq n - 1$  and there are points  $P \in \tau(X)$  such that  $r_X(P) = n - 2$ .

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  be a smooth and linearly normal curve of genus 2 and let  $n \geq 5$ . Fix  $Q \in X$ . If  $n = 5$ , then assume  $\mathcal{O}_X(1) \neq \omega_X^{\otimes 2}(3Q)$ . Then:*

- (1) *there is  $P \in T_Q X \setminus X$  such that  $r_X(P) = n - 2$ .*
- (2)  *$r_X(P) \leq n - 1$  for all  $P \in T_Q X$ .*

*Proof.* From Corollary 1 we immediately get that for all  $P \in T_Q X \setminus X$  the  $X$ -rank of  $P$  is at least  $n - 2$ . Hence to prove part (1) it is sufficient to find a point  $P \in T_Q X \setminus X$  such that  $r_X(P) \leq n - 2$ . Set

$$R := \mathcal{O}_X(1)(-2Q)$$

and

$$M := R \otimes \omega_X^*.$$

Since  $\deg(R) = n \geq 2p_a(X) + 1$ , then  $R$  is very ample and  $h^0(X, R) = n - 1$ .

Let  $\varphi_R : X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-2}$  be the embedding induced by  $|R|$ . Notice that  $\varphi_R(X)$  is obtained projecting  $X$  from the line  $T_Q X$ . Since  $\deg(M) = n - 2 \geq p_a(X) + 1$ , we have  $h^0(X, M) \geq 2$ .

Since  $\deg(M) = n - 2$ , then  $M$  is spanned if  $n - 2 \geq 2p_a(X)$ , then if  $n \geq 6$ . We distinguish two cases:  $M$  spanned and  $M$  not spanned.

(i) First assume that  $M$  is spanned, and hence that  $n \geq 6$ . Obviously  $|M|$  contains at least a reduced element  $A \in |M|$ . Now, since  $h^0(X, R(-A)) = h^0(X, \omega_X) = 2$ , then  $\dim(\langle \varphi_R(A) \rangle) = n - 4$ . By definition of  $\varphi_{|R|}$  the curve  $\varphi_R(X)$  is the linear projection of  $X$  from  $T_Q X$ , then  $\dim(\langle T_Q X \cup A \rangle) \leq n - 2$ . Since  $\deg(\mathcal{O}_X(1)(-A)) = 4 > \deg(\omega_X)$ , the set  $A \in |M|$  is linearly independent in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , i.e.  $\dim(\langle A \rangle) = n - 3$ . Since  $\dim(\langle T_Q X \cup \langle A \rangle \rangle) \leq n - 2$ , we get  $T_Q X \cap \langle A \rangle \neq \emptyset$ . If  $T_Q X \subset \langle A \rangle$ , then  $r_X(P) \leq n - 2$  for all  $P \in T_Q X$ . Hence we may assume that  $T_Q X \cap \langle A \rangle$  is a unique point:

$$T_Q X \cap \langle A \rangle = P'.$$

If  $P' \neq Q$ , then  $r_X(P') = n - 2$  as required in part (1) of the statement.

If  $P' = Q$  (i.e. if  $Q \in \langle A \rangle$ ), then  $Q$  has actually to belong to  $A$  itself, in fact  $h^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1)(-Z)) = 0$  for every zero-dimensional scheme of  $X$  with degree  $\leq n - 1$ . However, since  $R$  is assumed to have no base points, we may always take  $A \in |M|$  such that  $Q \notin A$ .

(ii) Now assume that  $M$  is not spanned, hence  $n = 5$  and  $R = \omega_X(B)$  for some  $B \in X$ . Since  $\omega_X$  is spanned, there is a reduced  $A' \in |\omega_X|$  such that  $Q \notin A'$  and  $B \notin A'$ . Therefore  $A := B + A'$  is a reduced element of  $|M|$ . We may use step (i) to prove the part (1) of the statement even in this case, unless  $B = Q$  (but this is exactly the case excluded).

We can now prove part (2). Take any  $P \in T_Q X \setminus X$ . By part (1) there are  $P_1 \in T_Q X \setminus X$  and  $S_1 \subset X$  such that  $\sharp(S_1) = n - 2$  and  $P_1 \in \langle S_1 \rangle$ . Since  $P \in T_Q X = \langle \{P_1, Q\} \rangle$ , we have  $P \in \langle \{Q\} \cup S_1 \rangle$ . Hence  $r_X(P) \leq n - 1$ .  $\square$

We can state the analogous of Remark 4.

**Remark 5.** Let  $X$  be an abstract smooth curve of genus 2. Every element of  $\text{Pic}^7(X)$  is very ample. The algebraic set  $\text{Pic}^7(X)$  is isomorphic to a 2-dimensional abelian variety  $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ . A

one-dimensional closed subset of it (isomorphic to  $X$ ) parametrizes the set  $\Sigma$  all line bundles of the form  $\omega_X^{\otimes 2}(3O)$  for some  $O \in X$ . Fix  $L \in \Sigma$ . Since the 3-torsion of  $\text{Pic}^0(X)$  is formed by  $3^4$  points, there are exactly  $3^4$  points  $O \in X$  such that  $L \cong \omega_X^{\otimes 2}(3O)$ .

We prove here the Theorem 2 stated in the Introduction that gives the precise value  $r_X(P) = n - 2$  for points  $P \in \tau(X) \setminus X$  if  $n \geq 8$ .

*Proof of Theorem 2.*

By Corollary 1, the  $X$ -rank of  $P$  is  $r_X(P) \geq n - 2$ . We prove the reverse inequality. Consider the linear projection  $\ell_P : \mathbb{P}^n \setminus P \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  to  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  from  $P \in \mathbb{P}^n$  and set:

$$Y := \ell_P(X) \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$$

and

$$O := \ell_P(Q) \in \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$$

to be the linear projections via  $\ell_P$  of  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  and  $Q \in \mathbb{P}^n$  respectively. For every 0-dimensional subscheme  $Z \subset X$  of length at most 4 we have that  $\dim(\langle Z \rangle) = \text{length}(Z) - 1$ , hence  $\ell_P|_X \setminus \{Q\}$  is an embedding, the curve  $Y$  is singular only in  $O$  where there is a cusp and the embedding  $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  is linearly normal. Set:

$$R := \mathcal{O}_Y(1) \otimes \omega_Y^*.$$

Since  $p_a(Y) = 3$  and  $\deg(R) = n - 2 \geq 6$ , then  $R$  is spanned. Hence a general divisor  $B \in |R|$  is reduced and does not contain  $O$ . Therefore there is a unique set of points  $S \subset X$  such that  $\sharp(S) = \sharp(B)$  and  $\ell_P(S) = B$ . Since  $h^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(1)(-B)) = h^1(Y, \omega_Y) = 1$ , we have  $\dim(\langle B \rangle) = \sharp(B) - 2$ . Hence  $\dim(\langle \{P\} \cup S \rangle) = n - 3$ . In order to get  $P \in \langle S \rangle$ , and hence  $r_X(P) \leq n - 2$ , it is sufficient to prove that  $S$  is linearly independent. This is true, because  $X$  is linearly normal and  $\deg(\mathcal{O}_X(1)(-S)) = 4 > 2 = \deg(\omega_X)$ .  $\square$

For the next proposition we need to recall the definition of  $X$ -rank of subspaces.

**Definition 3.** Let  $V \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  be a non-empty linear subspace. The  $X$ -rank  $r_X(V)$  of  $V$  is the minimal cardinality of a finite set  $S \subset X$  such that  $V \subseteq \langle S \rangle$ .

**Proposition 5.** Fix an integer  $n \geq 4$ . Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  be a non-degenerate, smooth and linearly normal curve of genus 2 and degree  $n + 2$ . Fix  $Q \in X$  and let  $\Delta_Q$  be the set of all  $S \subset X$  such that  $T_Q X \subset \langle S \rangle$  and  $\sharp(S) = r_X(T_Q X)$ . Then:

- (i)  $r_X(T_Q X) = n - 1$ ;
- (ii) every  $S \in \Delta_Q$  contains  $Q$  and  $\{S \setminus \{Q\}\}_{S \in \Delta_Q}$  is the non-empty open subset of the projective space  $|\mathcal{O}_X(1)(-2Q) \otimes \omega_X^*|$  parameterizing the reduced divisors not containing  $Q$ .

*Proof.* By item (1) in Proposition 4, there is  $P \in T_Q X \setminus X$  such that  $r_X(P) = n - 2$ . Take  $S_1 \subset X$  computing  $r_X(P)$ . Since  $T_Q X \subset \langle \{Q\} \cup S_1 \rangle$ , we get  $r_X(T_Q X) \leq n - 1$ . Hence to prove (i) it is sufficient to prove the reverse inequality.

Fix a finite subset of points  $S \subset X$  computing  $r_X(T_Q X)$ , i.e.  $T_Q X \subset \langle S \rangle$  and it does not exist any  $\mathbb{P}^t$  with  $t < \dim(\langle S \rangle)$  containing  $T_Q X$ . Here we prove  $\sharp(S) \geq n - 1$  and that if  $\sharp(S) = n - 1$ , then  $Q \in S$ .

Assume either  $\sharp(S) \leq n - 2$  or  $\sharp(S) = n - 1$  and  $Q \notin S$ . Hence in both cases it is possible to find a projective linear subspace  $M \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  such that  $\dim(M) \leq n - 2$  and  $\text{length}(X \cap M) \geq \dim(M) + 3$ . So if  $\sharp(S) \leq n - 2$  or  $\sharp(S) = n - 1$  and  $Q \notin S$  we are able to find a scheme  $X \cap M \subset X$  of length greater than  $n$  that is linearly independent; but this is not possible, in fact, since  $\deg(\mathcal{O}_X(1)) = n + \deg(\omega_X)$  and  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  is linearly normal, a zero-dimensional

subscheme  $Z \subset X$  is linearly independent if either  $\text{length}(Z) \leq n - 1$  or  $\text{length}(Z) = n$  and  $Z \notin |\mathcal{O}_X(1) \otimes \omega_X^*|$  (if  $Z \in |\mathcal{O}_X(1) \otimes \omega_X^*|$ , then  $\dim(\langle Z \rangle) = \text{length}(Z) - 2$ , because  $h^1(X, \omega_X) = 1$ ).

Hence we get that  $r_X(T_Q X) = n - 1$  that proves the first part of the statement. Moreover this also shows that every  $S \setminus \{Q\}$  is a reduced element of  $|\mathcal{O}_X(1)(-2Q) \otimes \omega_X^*|$ . Conversely, fix a reduced  $B \in |\mathcal{O}_X(1)(-2Q) \otimes \omega_X^*|$  not containing  $Q$  and set  $E := B \cup \{Q\}$ . Notice that  $\omega_X(Q)$  has  $Q$  as its base-points and that  $\mathcal{O}_X(1)(-E) \cong \omega_X(Q)$ . Hence  $\langle E \rangle \cap X$  contains  $Q$  with multiplicity at least 2. Thus  $T_Q X \subset \langle E \rangle$ , that concludes the proof of the second part of the statement.  $\square$

**Remark 6.** Observe that the space  $\Delta_Q$  of Proposition 5 has dimension  $n - 3$  if  $n \geq 5$ .

### 3. QUESTIONS

We end the paper with a number of progressive questions that should give a line for further investigations on the  $X$ -rank of points in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  with respect to linearly normal curves of genus  $g$  and degree  $n + g$ .

A first question is on the possible sharpness of the bound given in Theorem 2 for the dimension  $n$  of the ambient space. Clearly Theorem 2 cannot hold for any  $n \geq 3$  because we know that it is false for  $n = 3, 4$  (by propositions 1 and 2), but it can maybe be extended to  $n \geq 7$ .

**Question 1.** Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  be a genus 2 linearly normal curve of degree  $n + 2$ . Is it possible to prove that if  $n \geq 7$  then the  $X$ -rank of any point  $P \in \mathbb{P}^n$  is at most  $n - 2$ ?

Next question comes up from the fact that, in all the examples that we have studied in this paper, the  $X$ -rank with respect to a smooth genus 2 linearly normal curve  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ , the highest value of the  $X$ -rank is realized on points belonging to the tangential variety to  $X$ .

**Question 2.** Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  be a genus 2 linearly normal curve of degree  $n + 2$ . Does it exist a positive integer  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for any  $n \geq n_0$  every point  $P \in \mathbb{P}^n \setminus \tau(X)$  have  $X$ -rank less or equal than  $n - 3$ ?

Actually Question 2 can be generalized to any  $s$ -th secant variety  $\sigma_s(X) \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  for the same  $X$  linearly normal genus 2 curve.

**Question 3.** Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  be a genus 2 linearly normal curve of degree  $n + 2$ . Is the maximal  $X$ -rank  $s$  of a point  $P \in \mathbb{P}^n$  realized on  $\sigma_{n-s}(X)$  when  $n \gg s$ ?

All the above questions can be formulated in an analogous way for any projective, smooth and genus  $g$  linearly normal curve.

**Question 4.** Fix an integer  $g \geq 0$ . Are there integers  $n_g, m_g \geq 2g + 3$  such that for every integer  $n \geq n_g$  (resp.  $n \geq m_g$ ), every smooth genus  $g$  curve  $Y$  and every linearly normal embedding  $j : Y \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ , we have  $r_{j(Y)}(P) \leq n - g$  for all  $P \in \mathbb{P}^n$  (resp.  $r_{j(Y)}(P) \leq n - g - 1$  for all  $P \in \mathbb{P}^n \setminus Tj(Y)$ )?

In the set-up of Question 4 we have  $r_X(P) \geq n - g$  for every  $P \in TX \setminus X$  by Corollary 1.

**Question 5.** Take the set-up of Question 4, but assume  $g \geq 3$ . Is it possible to find integers  $n'_g$  and  $m'_g$  as in Question 4 (but drastically lower) such that the same statements holds for  $n \geq n'_g$  and  $n \geq m'_g$  if we make the further assumption that  $Y$  has general moduli?

Hint: in the set-up of Question 5 in the first non-trivial case  $g = 3$  perhaps it is sufficient to distinguish between hyperelliptic curves and non-hyperelliptic curves.

## REFERENCES

- [1] B. Ådlansdvik. Joins and higher secant varieties. *Math. Scand.* 61, 213–222, (1987).
- [2] S. Allman, J. Rhodes. Phylogenetic ideals and varieties for the general Markov model. *Advances in Applied Mathematics*, 40, no. 2, 127–148, (2008).
- [3] E. Ballico, A. Bernardi. On the X-rank with respect to linear projections of projective varieties. Preprint: <http://arxiv.org/abs/0912.4834>, (2009).
- [4] J. M. F. ten Berge, J. Castaing, P. Comon, L. De Lathauwer. Generic and typical ranks of multi-way arrays. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 430, no. 11–12, 2997–3007, (2009).
- [5] A. Bernardi. Ideals of varieties parameterizing certain symmetric tensors. *Journ. of P. and A. Algebra*, 212, no. 6, 1542–1559, (2008).
- [6] A. Bernardi, A. Gimigliano and M. Idà. Computing symmetric rank for symmetric tensors. Preprint: <http://arxiv.org/abs/0908.1651>, (2009).
- [7] J. Buczyński, J. M. Landsberg. Ranks of tensors and a generalization of secant varieties. Preprint: <http://arxiv.org/abs/0909.4262>, (2009).
- [8] M. V. Catalisano, A. V. Geramita, A. Gimigliano. On the rank of tensors, via secant varieties and fat points, *Zero-Dimensional Schemes and Applications* (Naples, 2000). *Queen's Papers in Pure and Appl. Math.*, vol. 123, Queen's Univ., Kingston, ON, 2002, 133–147.
- [9] M. V. Catalisano, A. V. Geramita, A. Gimigliano. Ranks of tensors, secant varieties of Segre varieties and fat points. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 355, 263–285 (2002).
- [10] M.V. Catalisano, A.V. Geramita, and A. Gimigliano. On the ideals of secant varieties to certain rational varieties. *Journal of Algebra* 319, 1913–1931, (2008).
- [11] G. Comas, M. Seiguer. On the rank of a binary form. Preprint: <http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0112311>, (2001).
- [12] P. Comon, G. Golub, L.-H. Lim and B. Mourrain. Symmetric tensors and symmetric tensor rank. *SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis Appl.*, 30, 1254–1279, (2008).
- [13] P. Comon, G. Ottaviani. On the typical rank of real binary forms. Preprint <http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0909.4865>, (2009).
- [14] S. Friedland. On the generic rank of 3-tensors. Preprint: <http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0805.3777>, (2008).
- [15] J.M. Landsberg, L. Manivel. On the ideals of secant varieties of Segre varieties. *Found. Comput. Math.* 4, no. 4, 397–422, (2004).
- [16] J.M. Landsberg and L. Manivel. Generalizations of Strassen's equations for Secant varieties of Segre varieties. *Communications in Algebra* 36, 1–18, (2008).
- [17] J. M. Landsberg, Z. Teiler. On the ranks and border ranks of symmetric tensors. Preprint: <http://arxiv.org/abs/0901.0487v3>, (2009).
- [18] J.M. Landsberg, J. Weyman. On the ideals and singularities of secant varieties of Segre varieties. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 39, no. 4, 685–697, (2007).
- [19] L. H. Lim, V. de Silva. Tensor rank and the ill-posedness of the best low-rank approximation problem. *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* 30, no. 3, 1084–1127, (2008).
- [20] L. Manivel. On Spinor Varieties and Their Secants. *SIGMA* 5, 78-100, Contribution to the Special Issue *lie Cartan and Differential Geometry*, (2009).
- [21] R. Piene. Cuspidal projections of space curves. *Math. Ann.* 256, no. 1, 95–119, (1981).
- [22] V. Strassen. Rank and optimal computation of generic tensors. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 52/53 (1983), 645–685.
- [23] J. Sylvester. Sur une extension d'un théorème de Clebsch relatif aux courbes du quatrième degré. *Comptes Rendus, Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 102, 1532–1534, (1886).

DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TRENTO, 38123 POVO (TN), ITALY

CIRM -FBK, 38123 POVO (TN), ITALY

*E-mail address:* [ballico@science.unitn.it](mailto:ballico@science.unitn.it), [bernardi@fbk.eu](mailto:bernardi@fbk.eu)